

4. September 2013



## Six years REACH – an industry's view

Dr. Angelika Hanschmidt, Darmstadt, 4. September 2013

VERBAND DER  
CHEMISCHEN INDUSTRIE e.V.  
WIR GESTALTEN ZUKUNFT.



VCI

- Goals of REACH Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006
- Industry's experiences and fields for improvements
  - Registration
  - Evaluation
  - Authorisation and restriction
  - Communication in the supply chain
- Conclusions

## REACH = **R**egistration, **E**valuation, **A**uthorisation and **R**estriction of **C**hemicals

- Entered into force in June 2007 and is to be implemented stepwise by 2020 (some transitional provisions)
- Reorganizes the whole chemicals policy in Europe
- Shifts responsibility for the safe use of chemicals from authorities to industry
- Should ensure a high level of protection of human health and the environment as well as the free movement of substances ... while enhancing competitiveness and innovation.
- Should contribute to realizing the Strategic Approach to International Chemical Management (SAICM) of the United Nations by 2020.

# Registration Stepwise implementation by 2018 on track



- **01.06.2008** Start registration obligation for substances  $\geq 1\text{t/a}$

- 01.12.2008 End pre-registration to gain transitional terms

- 30.11.2010 End 1st registration phase (substances  $\geq 1000\text{ t/a}$ )

- 31.05.2013 End 2nd registration phase (substances  $\geq 100\text{ t/a}$ )

⇒ **33,000 registrations for 6,600 substances overall**



- **31.05.2018** End 3rd registration phase (substances  $\geq 1\text{t/a}$ )

- small and medium sized enterprises increasingly affected

- more substances than in 2010, 2013

## Much build-up work done by chemical industry

- REACH coordinator/team, product/substance inventories ... (concerns product safety, IT, purchase, sales ...)
- Concepts & tools for work in „substance information exchange forum“
- Model for consortium agreement, model for toll manufacturer addendum, model for appointment of only representative, letter of access
- Interpretations resolved, guidance on specific issues ...

## ... under difficult (changing) conditions

- Mandatory IUCLID software and REACH-IT of ECHA were still under development, several version changes
- ECHA guidance developed and version changes in parallel to registrations, REACH annexes amended
- New interpretations by authorities (e.g. regarding intermediates)

# Registration Industry's experiences

- Administration of consortia/SIEFs brings more workload than expected
- Updating of dossiers transferred from former regulation to REACH is challenging, as only possible by auxiliary procedures implemented late
- Transfer option for registrations in case of legal entity change was implemented late and is limited to certain cases

## Fields for improvements

- Stable regulatory environment is a precondition for improving efficiency
- Contact persons in ECHA needed to check intended approaches
- Guidelines: Focus should be on clarification, practical examples, efficient practical strategies – not on changes/tightening the rules
- Limit updates of registrations to scope laid down in REACH, extra work caused by software and ECHA's workflow changes should be avoided



# Evaluation under REACH

## Goals and possible outcome

### Dossier evaluation

- Goal: Check compliance of registration with REACH provisions
- Since 2011 done by Chemicals Agency ECHA
  - for all testing proposals received during registration
  - for at least 5% of registration dossiers  
(concerns whole dossier or may be targeted to specific aspects)

### Substance evaluation

- Goal: Clarify concerns regarding risks to human health or environment
- Since 2012 yearly rolling action plan for 3 years drafted by ECHA
- Evaluations done by Member States within 1 year

### Possible outcome of evaluation processes

- No further action; request of further information with time limits

# Evaluation of registration dossiers

## Industry's experiences

- New requirements and new processes
- Learning from experiences is essential, e.g. with respect to justifications expected by authorities (level of detail, place)
- On the other hand: Competent Authorities must ensure that well-founded expert opinions and alternatives to animal testing are accepted
- So, expectations of industry and authorities have to be aligned. This requires a fair and transparent dialogue

### Fields for improvements

- Positive feedback from ECHA to companies after dossier evaluations without objections
- Highlighting of problematic points by ECHA; best practice examples
- Transparent communication on ECHA's screening actions
- Contact persons at ECHA required





# Evaluation of substances

## Industry's experiences

- Not much experience, as process started in 2012
- Different level of industry involvement depending on lead authority

### Fields for improvements

- Involvement of registrants at an early stage: EU-wide approach required
- Balance information requests to companies to information items/ studies that are proportionate and well justified by lead authorities



# Authorisation and restriction

## Different approaches to limit uses of substances

### Authorisation

new process established  
under REACH

REACH annex XIV



Extensive applications by  
manufacturer, importer or user  
required; reviews planned

### Restriction

process transferred to REACH

REACH annex XVII



## Candidate list for authorisation

- **144 Substances** ⇒ **Information requirements**
- Identification of substances of very high concern (CMR, PBT/vPvB and equivalent level of concern)
- Additions to the list:  $\cong$  2 x a year (June, Dec.)
- **Commission goal: include all relevant substances in candidate list by 2020**

## Annex XIV substances subject to authorisation

- **22 Substances** ⇒ **no further use without authorisation granted after sunset date** (Exemption: Intermediates)
- Additions to the list:  $\cong$  1 x a year

## Authorisation Application

- **First application for Authorisation received at ECHA**
- Substance-related deadline for submission of applications to ECHA
- 18 months later: no use unless authorised
- Authorisations granted are subject to regular reviews

CMR: carcinogenic, mutagenic or toxic to reproduction;

PBT: persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic; vPvB: very persistent and very bioaccumulative

# Authorisation Industry's experiences

- Candidate list for authorisation is seen as a „black list“ by some sectors: Regulation stipulates information about candidate substances in articles – market reacts with phase-out of candidate substances.
- The authorisation process is politically affected: In 2012 some substances were brought on the candidate list just to gain numbers announced by the Commission – regardless their relevance.
- Application for authorisation is new, costly and subject to reviews: Costs and efforts are high. Experience with socio-economic analysis is limited.
- Cooperation of companies has restrictions by competition law.
- Risk Management Options analysis approach for improving the SVHC identification process as proposed by the European Commission beginning of 2013 is supported by industry.

## Fields for improvements

- Risk management options analysis (RMO) should be done for each substance to decide on the option(s) best suited for risk management of substance uses
- Companies affected should be involved at an early stage of the RMO to adequately take into account all available knowledge
- Exemptions because of other EU regulations or RMO analysis should be considered when drafting an annex XIV entry.

# Supply chain communication

## Developments of safety data sheets under REACH and CLP

- Safety data sheets (SDS) remain the main communication instrument
- New SDS format from 2010, new parameters and annex with exposure scenarios under REACH; in addition new classification and labelling scheme acc. to CLP regulation
- Further changes of SDS content required by June 2015 and 2018
- Downstream users need to check whether uses of their substances were taken into account following receipt of the SDS
- Suppliers of mixtures have to consider exposure scenarios of substances when drafting SDS (include information in main body or attachment of annex)



# Supply chain communication

## Industry's experiences

- More communication between supplier and customer on safe use of substances and mixtures under REACH; many industry activities
- All actors are learning new terminology and assessment steps
- Current SDS are perceived as overly comprehensive and unintelligible
- Initiative started by authorities and industries to improve exposure information and risk management instructions in registrations and safety data sheets; stepwise implementation by 2018

### Fields for improvements

- IT tools to be developed to facilitate assessment activities, translation of standardized content required
- Improvement of readability of SDS by harmonisation of content; to be checked where this is possible and where diversity is reasonable
- Simplifications, e.g. for professional end-users/craftsmen



# Conclusions

- Much built-up work has been done by industry and authorities .... and is ongoing
- REACH overall works so far. Judgments on workability for small and medium sized enterprises/complex supply chains are premature.
- Now it is time to learn from experiences to improve processes; therefore a stable regulatory environment is needed as stated in the report on REACH by the European Commission in February 2013.
- Studies already show positive REACH effects for human health and environmental protection. Companies have high registration and staff costs. Thus, effects on competitiveness are to be carefully monitored.
- Overall consequences from REACH for business processes and product portfolios will be assessable after 2018 at the earliest.
- Support from science appreciated: Contributions to non-animal testing approaches by developing alternative tests, support for read-across
- Problems should be solved in a fair communication between authorities and companies/associations concerned.



# More information?

## On the internet:

Brochure „REACH und GHS“ (2011)

<http://www.vci.de/Services/Publikationen/chemie-report/Seiten/chemie-report-spezial-5-2011.aspx>

Article „Five years REACH: lessons learned and first experiences – an industry’s view“ (2013)

<http://www.enveurope.com/content/25/1/19>

Cover picture: @-finecki-Fotolia

